UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

and the approximation of the approximation of the		.,
RAYMOND P. MARIOLLE	CASE NO. C09-01209 JL	
Plaintiff(s),		
v. Volvo group north america NC; et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	: 1
Defendant(s).		
Counsel report that they have met an following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	•
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ing ADR process:	
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (AD Barly Neutral Evaluation (EN Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		· ·
appreciably more likely to meet their needs i	lément conference with a Magistrate Judge is lian any other form of ADR, must participate in an sform. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify)	process and provider) Private mediation.	
	y; e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered;)	
other requested deadline		
Dated: 6 2 09	Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated: 6/2/69	Attorney for Defendant Volvo True	rks North Americ
Dated: 4/2/09	Attorney for Defendants Consolidated MetCo & Ansted In	·

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

✓ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 13, 2009

DISTRICT UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE